



**UNICA**

UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
DI CAGLIARI



Università di Cagliari

**UNICA IRIS Institutional Research Information System**

**This is the Author's [*accepted*] manuscript version of the following contribution: Prevalence of Mitral Annular Disjunction at Cardiac MRI: Results from a Multicenter Registry**

**Anna Palmisano, Elisa Bruno, Giovanni Donato Aquaro, Carmelo De Gori, Simone Barbieri, Margherita Adami, Dario Plataroti, Paolo Rondi, Nunzia di Meo, Marco Ravanelli, Davide Farina, Alice Rossi, Silvia Pradella, Vittorio Miele, Livia Marchitelli, Giulia Cundari, Nicola Galea, Davide Tore, Marco Gatti, Riccardo Faletti, Pierpaolo Palumbo, Ernesto Di Cesare, Tommaso D'Angelo, Ludovica R. M. Lanzafame, Alfredo Blandino, Serena Dell'Aversana, Andrea Ponsiglione, Raffaele Ascione, Massimo Imbriaco, Michele Porcu, Riccardo Cau, Luca Saba, Giovanni Ferrandino, Carlo Liguori, Virginia Sambuceti, Sara Seitun, Agnese Siani, Alessandro Carriero, Michele Cosenza, Luigi Lovato, Davide Vignale, Lorenzo Faggioni, Emanuele Neri, and Antonio Esposito**

**Radiology: Cardiothoracic Imaging 2024 6:6**

**The publisher's version is available at:**

**<https://doi.org/10.1148/ryct.230428>**

**When citing, please refer to the published version.**

This full text was downloaded from UNICA IRIS <https://iris.unica.it/>

**ABBREVIATED TITLE PAGE****Prevalence of Mitral Annular Disjunction at Cardiac MRI:****Results from a Multicenter Registry****Original research****Summary Statement**

Mitral annular disjunction (MAD) was a frequent incidental finding on cardiac MRI examinations performed for various clinical indications. Longer MAD length and coexisting bileaflet mitral valve prolapse were associated with a higher prevalence of arrhythmia.

**KEY POINTS**

- The prevalence of mitral annular disjunction (MAD) among 2611 patients undergoing cardiac MRI for various clinical indications was 5.44% (142/2611), and MAD was often an incidental finding.
- Patients with arrhythmia showed a higher prevalence of MAD and longer MAD length compared to patients without arrhythmia (57/142, 40% vs 444/2469, 18%; $p=.002$ ), with a determined threshold value of 5 mm (OR 3.96 [95% CI, 1.93-8.15],  $p<.001$ ).
- Patients with MAD and bileaflet-mitral valve prolapse showed higher prevalence of arrhythmia (64.2%, 18/28 vs 34.2%, 39/114,  $p=.006$ ), systolic curling (75%, 21/28 vs 30.7%, 35/114,  $p<.001$ ) and myocardial fibrosis (ECV 30%[IQR,28;32] vs 27%[25%;30%];  $p=.04$ ).

**ABSTRACT****Purpose**

To determine the prevalence of MAD in patients undergoing cardiac MRI for various clinical indications and to assess the association of MAD with arrhythmia, mitral valve prolapse (MVP) and myocardial alteration.

**Materials and Methods**

This study analysed data from a retrospective observational registry of consecutive patients undergoing cardiac MRI for different clinical indications. Cardiac MRI examinations were performed from January 2019 to June 2019 at 13 Italian hospitals. Images underwent double reading by expert cardiac-radiologist from enrolling center and core-lab to assess the presence of MAD. Presence and maximum length of MAD and its association to MVP pattern, functional and structural myocardial alteration and arrhythmia were evaluated using nonparametric and parametric tests. Logistic regression models were used to identify predictors of arrhythmia.

**Results**

Cardiac MRI studies from 2611 consecutive patients (1755, 67% males, median age 53[IQR 39-65] years) were evaluated. Prevalence of MAD was 5.44% (142/2611). MAD was an incidental finding in 74.6% (106/142) of cases. Patients with MAD had a higher prevalence of arrhythmias compared with patients without MAD (40%, 57/142 vs 18%, 444/2469;  $p < .001$ ). Patients with MAD and bi-leaflet MVP showed longer MAD compared to patients with single-leaflet or absent MVP (7[3;9.5] vs 4[3;5],  $p < .001$ ), and higher incidence of arrhythmia (64.2%, 18/28 vs 34.2%, 39/114,  $p = .006$ ).

MAD length  $\geq 5$  mm independently predicted arrhythmia (OR 3.96 [95% CI, 1.93-8.15],  $p < .001$ ).

**Conclusion**

MAD was a frequent incidental finding on cardiac MRI examinations from a multicenter registry.

MAD  $\geq 5$  mm and when associated to bileaflet-MVP showed a higher risk of arrhythmia.

1  
2  
3 **Abbreviation list:**  
4

5 AF: atrial fibrillation  
6

7 MAD: mitral annular disjunction  
8

9 MVP: mitral valve prolapse  
10

11 NSVT: nonsustained ventricular tachycardia  
12

13 PVC: premature ventricular contraction  
14

15 SVT/VF: sustained ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation  
16

17 rSCD: resuscitated sudden cardiac death.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**MAIN MANUSCRIPT****INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in characterizing mitral annular disjunction (MAD) to clarify its pathologic significance. MAD consists of the atrial displacement of the mitral annulus with discernible separation from the ventricular wall (1). Its origin is debated (2). It has been suggested to be the result of mechanical and stretching stress in patients with mitral valve prolapse (MVP) (3), or to be an anatomical variant (4). These hypotheses stem from conflicting findings: some authors have described MAD as an exclusive alteration of MVP (3), supporting the degenerative etiology, while others have reported MAD also in patients without MVP (4–6), supporting the congenital etiology.

In a large autopsic study published in the 1986 (7) MAD was found in almost all patients with MVP (92%) and in 5% of structurally normal hearts. A recent meta-analysis published in 2022 including 12 studies, mainly conducted using echocardiography (3), reported MAD in approximately 30% of patients with MVP and in 8.7% of the general population. Conversely, two studies based on cardiac magnetic resonance (cardiac MRI) and CT revealed MAD to be extremely frequent in normal subjects, with a prevalence of 76% and 96%, respectively (8,9).

Irrespective of its origin, MAD seems to be associated to higher arrhythmic risk, but it is not clear when and why. Most of studies reported MAD as a feature of the arrhythmic MVP where, together with systolic curling, lead to excessive mobility of the mitral valve apparatus causing systolic stretch of the myocardium, focal myocardial pseudohypertrophy and fibrosis (10).

The combination of myocardial fibrosis (anatomical substrate) and of mechanical stretch (trigger), seems to elicit ventricular arrhythmia (11,12).

Additionally, recent studies reported arrhythmic events even in patients with MAD and without MVP (13,14) arguing the arrhythmic potential of MAD itself.

1  
2  
3 Hence, there is an urgent need for further data to increase our capability of differentiate between  
4 clinically significant versus insignificant MAD, which is crucial to prevent overdiagnosis in healthy  
5 individuals or, conversely, to avoid underestimation of the arrhythmic risk.  
6  
7

8  
9 cardiac MRI had higher sensitivity in the detection of MAD compared to echocardiography,  
10 especially in case of small length MAD (15), and it is also capable of better distinguishing MAD from  
11 pseudo-MAD, which consists of the systolic juxtaposition of the belly of the billowing leaflet on the  
12 adjacent left atrial wall (14,16). Additionally, cardiac MRI can provide a comprehensive stratification  
13 of arrhythmic risk (11,15) based on a multiparametric approach for the evaluation of cardiac  
14 morphology, function and fibrosis.  
15  
16

17  
18 Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of MAD in a large registry  
19 of patients undergoing cardiac MRI regardless of the clinical suspicion, as well as to assess its  
20 association with mitral valve alterations, myocardial remodeling, and arrhythmias.  
21  
22

## 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### 33 34 35 **STUDY SAMPLE**

36  
37 This study analyzed data from a multicenter observational cross-sectional retrospective registry. The  
38 study was approved by the ethics committee (CET 61-2024) and written informed consent waived for  
39 its retrospective nature.  
40  
41

42  
43 Thirteen hospitals participated. Cardiac MRI studies and clinical data of consecutive patients who  
44 underwent cardiac MRI from January 2019 to June 2019 were collected. The timeline was selected  
45 to avoid the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on cardiac MRI availability, scheduling, and findings  
46 (17,18).  
47  
48

49  
50 Exclusion criteria were pediatric patients (age <18 years-old), cardiac devices, previous MV surgery,  
51 lack of late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) images, lack of clinical information about presence of  
52 arrhythmias at the time of cardiac MRI.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Demographics (age and sex) and clinical data (known cardiac disease, atrial and ventricular  
4 arrhythmias, clinical suspicion before cardiac MRI) at the time of cardiac MRI were retrieved by  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Demographics (age and sex) and clinical data (known cardiac disease, atrial and ventricular arrhythmias, clinical suspicion before cardiac MRI) at the time of cardiac MRI were retrieved by electronic medical records and collected. The flowchart detailing patient selection is shown in

**Figure 1.**

### **CARDIAC MRI ANALYSIS**

Image analysis was performed offline at each center by expert cardiac radiologists with at least 10 years of experience in cardiac MRI according to a standard operating procedure (supplemental material). To assess the intra-observer and inter-observer variability in MAD detection, cardiac MRIs were re-evaluated by the first observer after 6 months to avoid recall bias and by two radiologists from the coordinating center with 10 and 7 years of experience in cardiac imaging (A.P. and D.V.) who were blinded to the initial results.

MAD was considered present when  $\geq 1$  mm (19) and recognizable in systolic and diastolic phases. Maximum longitudinal length of MAD was measured in the end-systolic 3-chamber long-axis cine images (19).

MVP was defined as the systolic displacement of any part of the leaflet  $\geq 2$  mm from the annular plane into the atrial cavity (16). If present, maximum length of MVP was measured in the end-systolic 3-chamber long-axis images and classified in single-leaflet (sMVP) or bi-leaflet (bMVP).

Mitral valve regurgitation was calculated using the following formula:  $(\text{left ventricular (LV) stroke volume (SV)} - \text{aortic forward volume measured by using phase-contrast images (AoPC)}) / \text{LV-SV} * 100$ . It was classified as mild ( $< 30\%$ ), mild-to-moderate (30% to 39%), moderate-to-severe (40% to 49%), and severe ( $\geq 50\%$ )(20).

Systolic curling was defined as the excessive end-systolic motion of the inferolateral hinge point of the mural leaflet relative to the ventricular mural summit.

In patients with MAD, data about ventricular volumes and function, atrial volumes, presence and segmental distribution (American Heart Association 16-segment model) of myocardial scar on LGE

1  
2  
3 images were collected. Mapping sequences, including native T1 mapping, T2 mapping, and  
4 extracellular volume (ECV) mapping. Native T1, T2 mapping and ECV global and segmental values were  
5 collected. Native T1 values were considered abnormal based on local reference values, while for ECV  
6 and T2 mapping, commonly recognized reference values were considered (ECV<27%; T2 ≤ 50 ms) (21).  
7  
8  
9

## 10 11 12 **Statistical analysis**

13  
14 Categorical variables are expressed as counts and percentages, while continuous variables are  
15 expressed as median and interquartile ranges.  
16  
17

18  
19 Comparisons between subgroups of patients based on the presence of MAD (MAD+ vs MAD-), MVP  
20 (MVP+,MVP-), arrhythmia and other cardiac MRI parameters were conducted with the Mann-Whitney  
21 U test or using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate.  
22  
23  
24

25 In analyses involving multiple comparisons, p-values were adjusted with Bonferroni correction.

26  
27 Cohen kappa coefficient (k) was calculated to assess intra-observer and inter-observer agreement  
28 (<0.20: poor; 0.20-0.40: fair; 0.40-0.60: moderate; 0.60-0.80: good; 0.80-1.00: very good agreement)  
29 (22). To identify potential predictors of arrhythmia, a univariable logistic regression was implemented  
30 on both the entire study sample and the subgroup with MAD. Variables that showed a significant  
31 association (p< 0.05) in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable logistic regression  
32 model for prediction of arrhythmia incorporating both MVP and MAD as main effects.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 The Youden Index was used to identify the best cutoff value of MAD length associated with arrhythmia  
42 and logistic regression to assess its arrhythmic predictive value.  
43  
44

45 All tests were two-tailed, and a P value <0.05 was required for statistical significance. All the analyses  
46 were implemented using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute).  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

## 52 **RESULTS**

### 53 **Patient Characteristics**

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 A total of 2611 consecutive patients undergoing cardiac MRI for various clinical indications were  
4 included in the registry. There were mainly male patients (1755/2611, 67.2%) and the median age was  
5  
6 53 (IQR 39-65) years (Figure 2A).  
7  
8

9  
10 The most frequent clinical indication as well as the most frequent diagnosis at cardiac MRI was  
11  
12 ischemic cardiac disease (Figure 2A).  
13

14 Cardiac MRI was performed in most of cases (2513/2611; 96.2%) using a 1.5-T system, in the  
15  
16 remaining 98/2611 (3.75%) patients using a 3.0 T scanner.  
17

18  
19 Native T1 mapping was performed in 452/2611 (17.3%) patients, of which 47/142 (33.1%) with MAD;  
20  
21 T2-mapping in 290/2611 (11.1%) patients, of which 33/142 (23.2%) had MAD; and ECV mapping in  
22  
23 261/2611 (10%) patients, of which 37/142 (26.1%) had MAD.  
24

#### 25 **Patient with MAD: prevalence and association to cardiac diseases**

26  
27 The incidence of MAD at cardiac MRI was 5.44% (142/2611) with a median length of 4 [IQR, 3-6] mm.  
28

29  
30 Intra-reader agreement for MAD detection was very good (Cohen's kappa [95% CI]:0.876 [0.770-  
31  
32 0.984]), and the inter-reader agreement varied from good (Cohen's kappa [95% CI]:0.798 [0.698-  
33  
34 0.898]) to very good (Cohen's kappa [95% CI]: 0.911 [0.834-0.9883]).  
35

36  
37 Among the 142 patients with MAD (MAD+), a structural and functional evaluation of a mitral valve  
38  
39 disease was the reason for cardiac MRI in only 9.86% of patients (14/142). In another 11.9% (17/142)  
40  
41 of patients, the main indication to perform cardiac MRI was the search of arrhythmic substrate. The  
42  
43 remaining 78.2% (111/142) of patients underwent cardiac MRI for miscellaneous causes (Figure 2B).  
44

45 Cardiac MRI showed MAD to be an incidental finding most cases: of 142 total patients with MAD,  
46  
47 30.3% (43/142) had a structurally normal heart, 44.4% (63/142) had various cardiac diseases, and  
48  
49 25.4% (36/142) had an isolated mitral valve disease (Figure 2B).  
50

#### 51 **MAD+ vs MAD-**

52  
53 Sex distribution and median age in patients with MAD were similar to that of patients in the non-MAD  
54  
55 group (MAD-) (Table 1). Compared to MAD-, patients MAD+ more commonly had arrhythmias  
56  
57 (57/142, 40.1% vs 444/2469, 17.9%;  $p<.010$ ), MVP (36.6%, 52/142 vs 5.5%,136/2469; $p<.001$ ), curling  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (39.4%, 56/142 vs 1.25%, 31/2469;  $p=.002$ ) and mitral regurgitation (38.7%, 55/142 vs 12.1%,  
4  
5 298/2469;  $p<.001$ ). Prevalence of LGE was similar in patients MAD+ and MAD-, however, the typical  
6  
7 LGE pattern related to mitral valve diseases, with the involvement of subvalvular inferior and  
8  
9 inferolateral mid-basal walls and of the posterior papillary muscle, was more frequent in patients  
10  
11 MAD+ (40%, 24/60 vs 3.28%, 36/1097;  $p<.001$ ).

#### 14 **Association between MAD and Arrhythmia:**

16 Patients with arrhythmia showed a higher prevalence of MAD (11.3%,57/501 vs 4.02%, 85/2110;  
17  
18  $p<.001$ ), larger MAD length (5 [IQR, 3;6] mm vs 3 [IQR, 3;5] mm;  $p=.003$ ), higher incidence of curling  
19  
20 (8.38%, 42/501 vs 2.36%, 50/2110;  $p<.001$ ) and MVP (13.3%, 67/501 vs 5.73%, 121/2110;  $p<.001$ )  
21  
22

23 **(Table 2).**

#### 25 **Association between MAD and MVP:**

27 Results comparing subgroups of patients according to the presence or absence of MAD and/or MVP  
28  
29 are reported in **Table 3.**

31 Three percent (90/2611, 3.4%) of patients had MAD without MVP (MAD+/MVP-), while 1.99%  
32  
33 (52/2611) had MAD and MVP (MAD+/MVP+). For the remaining patients, 5.20% (136/2611) had MVP  
34  
35 without MAD (MAD-/MVP+), while the majority (89.3%,2333/2611) had neither MAD nor MVP (MAD-  
36  
37 /MVP-).

39  
40  
41 The rate of arrhythmia was 17.4% (406/2333) in MAD-/MVP- group, 27.9% (38/136) in the  
42  
43 MVP+/MAD- group, and 31.1% (28/90) in the MAD+/MVP- group, increasing to 55.7% (29/52) when  
44  
45 both MAD and MVP were present ( $p=.001$ ). The incidence of curling in the same groups was 0%  
46  
47 (0/2333), 22.8% (31/136), 20% (18/90) and 73.1% (38/52) respectively ( $p=.001$ ) **Table 3.** Prevalence of  
48  
49 LGE prevalence was similar regardless the presence of MVP and/or MAD, while ECV values were higher  
50  
51 in patients with MAD-/MVP- and MAD+/MVP+ (**Table 3**). In the subset of patients with MAD, 36.6%  
52  
53 (52/142) had MVP: bMVP in 53.8% (28/52) of patients and sMVP in 46.1% (24/52). Compared with  
54  
55 patients with sMVP or MVP-, patients with bMVP had a higher MAD length (7 [IQR, 3;9.5] mm vs 4  
56  
57 [IQR, 3;5] mm;  $p<.001$ ), higher prevalence of arrhythmias (64%, 18/28 vs 34.2%, 39/114,  $p=.006$ ), and  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 of sustained ventricular tachycardia(SVT)/ventricular fibrillation (VF) and resuscitated sudden cardiac  
4 death (rSCD) (14.3%, 4/28 vs 5%, 6/114;p=.033)(Figure 3).

5  
6  
7 Patients with bMVP more commonly had moderate-to-severe mitral regurgitation, higher left atrial  
8 and left ventricle volumes, and higher rate of LGE in inferolateral mid-basal myocardial wall and in the  
9  
10  
11  
12 posterior papillary muscle, and higher ECV values (**Figure 4** and **Table S1**).

### 13 14 **Predictors of Arrhythmia**

15  
16 At univariable analysis, the following variables were associated with arrhythmia: MAD presence (OR  
17 2.84 [95%CI, 1.99; 4.04];p<.001), MVP (OR 2.55 [95%CI, 1.85; 3.52];p<.001), curling (OR 3.72 [95%CI,  
18 2.41; 5.73];p<.001), mitral valve regurgitation (OR 1.61 [95%CI, 1.23; 2.11];p<.001), LGE (OR 0.62  
19 [95%CI,0.51;0.77];p<.001), and RV-EF (OR 0.99[95%CI,0.98;0.99];p=.009).

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 A MAD length  $\geq 5$  mm predicted arrhythmia with a sensitivity of 59.6% (46.9%;72.4) and specificity of  
26  
27 72.8% (63.1%;82.5%) (Youden index 0.32) and a OR 3.96 [95% CI 1.93-8.15], p<.001. Presence of MAD  
28  
29 was found to be an independent predictor of arrhythmia in the entire sample, in addition to MVP,  
30  
31 LGE, curling and right ventricular ejection fraction (**Table S2** and **Table 4**). In particular, in patients  
32  
33 MAD+ the coexistence of MVP+ further increased the risk (OR 2.34 [95% CI, 1.1;4.98] p=.027)  
34  
35 compared to patients MVP- (1.75 [1.05;2.94], p=.033).

### 36 37 38 **DISCUSSION**

39  
40  
41 The clinical significance of MAD is widely debated. It remains unclear whether it represents a “red  
42  
43 flag” for life-threatening arrhythmias when present on its own or only when associated with other  
44  
45 pathological conditions. Additionally, it is still unclear whether MAD causes MVP, is a degenerative  
46  
47 phenomenon related to MVP, or is a completely independent entity. Currently available information  
48  
49 varies due to heterogeneity in the analyzed population and imaging methods. Moreover, data on the  
50  
51 general population are scarce. Our registry including a large cohort of 2611 consecutive patients  
52  
53 undergoing cardiac MRI for various clinical indications showed a 5.44% prevalence of MAD. MAD was  
54  
55 an incidental finding in 74.6% (106/142) of patients, of which 30% had a structurally normal heart. The  
56  
57 prevalence of MAD reported in this study aligns closely with findings from Hutchins et al (5%) in the  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 largest autopsy series available accounting for 900 hearts (7), but is slightly lower than the prevalence  
4 reported by Konda et al in a echocardiography study on 1439 patients (9%) (23). This discrepancy may  
5 be attributed to the higher accuracy of cardiac MRI in the identifying MAD (15) and for the exclusion  
6 of pseudo-MAD (4). Isolated MAD in structurally normal hearts has been also previously reported by  
7 Angelini et al. at pathological specimen (6) and by Toh et al at CT (9).

8  
9  
10 We found MVP coexisting to MAD in 36.6% (52/142) of patients. Therefore, MAD appears to be a  
11 common but not exclusive feature of MVP phenotype. Similar to our study, Dejgaard L.A et al. (5) also  
12 identified MAD in patients without MVP on echocardiography, but reported higher prevalence in  
13 those with MVP (78%, 90/116).

14  
15  
16 In our study, patients MAD+ more commonly had arrhythmias (40.1%, 57/142) compared with  
17 patients MAD- (21%, 444/2110;  $p < .010$ ). The rate of arrhythmia was higher in patients with MAD or  
18 MVP and further increased when both MAD and MVP were present (17% vs 30% vs 32% vs 59%  
19 respectively;  $p < .001$ ). Additionally, MAD length was higher in patients with arrhythmia (5 [IQR, 3;6]  
20 mm vs 3 [IQR, 3;5] mm;  $p = .003$ ) as well as in patients with bMVP compared to patients with sMVP and  
21 no-MVP (7[3;9.5] vs 4[3;5],  $p < .001$ ) which had also higher prevalence of arrhythmia (64.2%, 18/28 vs  
22 34.2%, 39/114,  $p = .006$ ).

23  
24  
25 Therefore, our results partially support previous hypotheses from Konda and Dejgaard et al (5,23),  
26 suggesting the arrhythmic potential of MAD itself. In particular, we support the notion that MAD  
27 becomes arrhythmogenic when it exceeds a certain length, with an incremental effect driven by its  
28 association with MVP.

29  
30  
31 The MAD length observed in our cohort in patients without MVP (4 [IQR, 3;5] mm) was similar to that  
32 reported by Konda et al at echocardiography (23) and by Toh et al (9) and Tsianaka et al (24) at CT (3–  
33 4 mm). MAD length in patients with MVP (7 [IQR, 3 - 9.5] mm) fell within the range of previous cardiac  
34 MRI findings in a study from van Wijngaarden et al ( $8 \pm 4$  mm) (25).

35  
36  
37 We found a MAD length  $> 5$  mm to be associated to arrhythmic risk (OR 3.96 [95% CI, 1.93-8.15],  
38  $p < .001$ ). To date, a definite cut-off for pathological MAD length has not been established, with several  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 previous attempts yielding values ranging from 4.8 mm (10) to 10 mm (26). Specifically, a MAD  
4 distance >8.5 mm has previously been linked to non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (27) , even in  
5  
6 patients without mitral regurgitation(10).  
7  
8

9  
10 Perazzolo Marra et al.(10) firstly described a correlation between the length of MAD and the severity  
11 of systolic curling in patients with arrhythmic MVP, suggesting the arrhythmogenic potential of MAD  
12 when associated with contractility abnormalities. In agreement with this evidence, we also found  
13 higher prevalence of systolic curling in patients with arrhythmia.  
14  
15

16  
17 The rate of complex arrhythmia observed in our study was higher in patients with bMVP, who also  
18 exhibited a higher rate of curling, moderate to severe mitral regurgitation, larger left atrial and left  
19 ventricular volume, and higher ECV values. These findings suggest a higher risk of malignant  
20 arrhythmias in patients displaying a cardiomyopathic phenotype. This could be partially explained by  
21 the coexistence of valve heart diseases and cardiomyopathies in some patients based on genetic  
22 predisposition (28) beyond degenerative remodeling (29).  
23  
24

25  
26 Nonetheless, the underlying arrhythmogenic mechanism of MAD remains elusive. The hypermobility  
27 of the atrioventricular junction has been hypothesized to increase myocardial stretch and cause  
28 myocardial fibrosis (5,8,30) with a combination of structural and mechanical alteration affecting the  
29 valve apparatus and the myocardium that may elicit ventricular arrhythmias (11,12).  
30  
31

32  
33 LGE is a known predictor of arrhythmias (31) and has been observed to be more prevalent in cases of  
34 severe MAD (10). We found higher rate of LGE in the inferolateral mid-basal myocardial wall and in  
35 the papillary muscle, as well as higher ECV values, in the group MAD+/bMVP+ which also showed  
36 higher prevalence of arrhythmia supporting the role of fibrosis at LGE and mapping in improving risk  
37 stratification (32–36).  
38  
39

40  
41 Our study had several limitations. First, it is a retrospective study; therefore, cardiac MRI protocols  
42 were not standardized. Consistent with most of previous literature, MAD was measured as the  
43 longitudinal distance over the mitral annulus on a 3-chamber long-axis SSFP cine image. However,  
44 MAD length can vary considerably along the annulus circumference, and a cardiac MRI protocol  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 assessing the mitral annulus at every 30° or a stack of contiguous cines perpendicular to the mitral  
4  
5 commissures would provide greater accuracy (5,19). Data regarding arrhythmias were recorded at  
6  
7 the time of the cardiac MRI study. Mapping was available for a small subset of participating centers  
8  
9 and patients. Finally, follow-up data were not available.  
10

11  
12 In conclusion, our study demonstrates that MAD may represent a common anatomical variant  
13  
14 frequently encountered incidentally on cardiac MRI. When isolated, with a length lower than 5 mm  
15  
16 and in absence of any other cardiac alterations, MAD may be considered a benign variant. However,  
17  
18 MAD itself may harbor an arrhythmic potential when associated with a more complex structural heart  
19  
20 disease including MVP, particularly bMVP, mitral valve degeneration and dysfunction, and myocardial  
21  
22 remodeling. Future study including a long-term longitudinal monitoring of cardiac MRI features and  
23  
24 arrhythmic profile evolution may further clarify MAD arrhythmic potential and improve early risk  
25  
26 stratification.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**REFERENCES:**

1. Esposito A, Gatti M, Trivieri MG, et al. Imaging for the assessment of the arrhythmogenic potential of mitral valve prolapse. *Eur Radiol.* 2023; 34(20):4243-4260 doi: 10.1007/s00330-023-10413-9.
2. Gulati A, Gulati V, Hu R, et al. Mitral Annular Disjunction: Review of an Increasingly Recognized Mitral Valve Entity. *Radiol Cardiothorac Imaging.* 2023;5(6). doi: 10.1148/ryct.230131.
3. Bennett S, Tafuro J, Duckett S, et al. Definition, prevalence, and clinical significance of mitral annular disjunction in different patient cohorts: A systematic review. *Echocardiography.* 2022;39(3):514–523. doi: 10.1111/echo.15299.
4. Faletra FF, Leo LA, Paiocchi VL, et al. Morphology of Mitral Annular Disjunction in Mitral Valve Prolapse. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography.* 2022;35(2):176–186. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2021.09.002.
5. Dejgaard LA, Skjølsvik ET, Lie ØH, et al. The Mitral Annulus Disjunction Arrhythmic Syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72(14):1600–1609. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.07.070.
6. Angelini A, Ho SY, Anderson RH, Becker AE, Davies MJ. Disjunction of the Mitral Annulus in Floppy Mitral Valve. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 1988;318(3):188–189. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198801213180315.
7. Hutchins GM, Moore GW, Skoog DK. The Association of Floppy Mitral Valve with Disjunction of the Mitral Annulus Fibrosus. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 1986;314(9):535–540. doi: 10.1056/NEJM198602273140902.
8. Zugwitz D, Fung K, Aung N, et al. Mitral Annular Disjunction Assessed Using CMR Imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2022;15(11):1856–1866. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2022.07.015.
9. Toh H, Mori S, Izawa Y, et al. Prevalence and extent of mitral annular disjunction in structurally normal hearts: comprehensive 3D analysis using cardiac computed tomography. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2021;22(6):614–622. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeab022.

- 1  
2  
3 10. Perazzolo Marra M, Basso C, De Lazzari M, et al. Morphofunctional Abnormalities of Mitral  
4  
5 Annulus and Arrhythmic Mitral Valve Prolapse. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;9(8). doi:  
6  
7 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.116.005030.  
8  
9
- 10 11. Basso C, Perazzolo Marra M, Rizzo S, et al. Arrhythmic Mitral Valve Prolapse and Sudden  
11  
12 Cardiac Death. *Circulation*. 2015;132(7):556–566. doi:  
13  
14 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.016291.  
15  
16
- 17 12. Noseworthy PA, Asirvatham SJ. The Knot That Binds Mitral Valve Prolapse and Sudden  
18  
19 Cardiac Death. *Circulation*. 2015;132(7):551–552. doi:  
20  
21 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017979.  
22  
23
- 24 13. Hourdain J, Clavel MA, Deharo J-C, et al. Common Phenotype in Patients With Mitral Valve  
25  
26 Prolapse Who Experienced Sudden Cardiac Death. *Circulation*. 2018;138(10):1067–1069. doi:  
27  
28 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.033488.  
29
- 30 14. Nalliah CJ, Mahajan R, Elliott AD, et al. Mitral valve prolapse and sudden cardiac death: a  
31  
32 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart*. 2019;105(2):144–151. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-  
33  
34 2017-312932.  
35  
36
- 37 15. Mantegazza V, Volpato V, Gripari P, et al. Multimodality imaging assessment of mitral annular  
38  
39 disjunction in mitral valve prolapse. *Heart*. 2021;107(1):25–32. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-  
40  
41 317330.  
42  
43
- 44 16. Sabbag A, Essayagh B, Barrera JDR, et al. EHRA expert consensus statement on arrhythmic  
45  
46 mitral valve prolapse and mitral annular disjunction complex in collaboration with the ESC  
47  
48 Council on valvular heart disease and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging  
49  
50 endorsed cby the Heart Rhythm Society, by the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society, and by the  
51  
52 Latin American Heart Rhythm Society. *EP Europace*. 2022;24(12):1981–2003. doi:  
53  
54 10.1093/europace/euac125.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 17. Palmisano A, Vignale D, Bruno E, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance findings in acute and  
4 post-acute COVID-19 patients with suspected myocarditis. *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound*.  
5  
6 2023;51(4):613–621. doi: 10.1002/jcu.23416.  
7
- 8  
9 18. Palmisano A, Gambardella M, D'Angelo T, et al. Advanced cardiac imaging in the spectrum of  
10 COVID-19 related cardiovascular involvement. *Clin Imaging*. 2022;90:78–89. doi:  
11  
12 10.1016/j.clinimag.2022.07.009.  
13  
14
- 15 19. Garg P, Swift AJ, Zhong L, et al. Assessment of mitral valve regurgitation by cardiovascular  
16 magnetic resonance imaging. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2020;17(5):298–312. doi: 10.1038/s41569-019-  
17  
18 0305-z.  
19  
20
- 21 20. Zoghbi WA, Adams D, Bonow RO, et al. Recommendations for Noninvasive Evaluation of  
22 Native Valvular Regurgitation. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*.  
23  
24 2017;30(4):303–371. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2017.01.007.  
25  
26
- 27 21. Palmisano A, Benedetti G, Faletti R, et al. Early T1 Myocardial MRI Mapping: Value in  
28 Detecting Myocardial Hyperemia in Acute Myocarditis. *Radiology*. 2020;295(2):316–325. doi:  
29  
30 10.1148/radiol.2020191623.  
31  
32
- 33 22. Landis JR, Koch GG. The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data.  
34  
35 *Biometrics*. 1977;33(1):159. doi: 10.2307/2529310.  
36  
37
- 38 23. Konda T, Tani T, Suganuma N, et al. The analysis of mitral annular disjunction detected by  
39 echocardiography and comparison with previously reported pathological data. *J*  
40  
41 *Echocardiogr*. 2017;15(4):176–185. doi: 10.1007/s12574-017-0349-1.  
42  
43  
44
- 45 24. Tsianaka T, Matziris I, Kobe A, et al. Mitral annular disjunction in patients with severe aortic  
46 stenosis: Extent and reproducibility of measurements with computed tomography. *Eur J*  
47  
48 *Radiol Open*. 2021;8:100335. doi: 10.1016/j.ejro.2021.100335.  
49  
50  
51
- 52 25. van Wijngaarden AL, de Riva M, Hiemstra YL, et al. Parameters associated with ventricular  
53  
54 arrhythmias in mitral valve prolapse with significant regurgitation. *Heart*. 2021;107(5):411–  
55  
56 418. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317451.  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 26. Eriksson MJ, Bitkover CY, Omran AS, et al. Mitral Annular Disjunction in Advanced  
4 Myxomatous Mitral Valve Disease: Echocardiographic Detection and Surgical Correction.  
5 Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography. 2005;18(10):1014–1022. doi:  
6 10.1016/j.echo.2005.06.013.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 27. Carmo P, Andrade MJ, Aguiar C, Rodrigues R, Gouveia R, Silva JA. Mitral annular disjunction in  
13 myxomatous mitral valve disease: a relevant abnormality recognizable by transthoracic  
14 echocardiography. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2010;8(1):53. doi: 10.1186/1476-7120-8-53.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 28. Bains S, Tester DJ, Asirvatham SJ, Noseworthy PA, Ackerman MJ, Giudicessi JR. A Novel  
20 Truncating Variant in FLNC-Encoded Filamin C May Serve as a Proarrhythmic Genetic  
21 Substrate for Arrhythmogenic Bileaflet Mitral Valve Prolapse Syndrome. Mayo Clin Proc.  
22 2019;94(5):906–913. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.11.028.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 29. Gupta S, Shihabi A, Patil MK, Shih T. Mitral Annular Disjunction: A Scoping Review. Cardiol  
29 Rev. 2023; doi: 10.1097/CRD.0000000000000594. Published August 03, 2023  
30  
31  
32 30. Basso C, Iliceto S, Thiene G, Perazzolo Marra M. Mitral Valve Prolapse, Ventricular  
33 Arrhythmias, and Sudden Death. Circulation. 2019;140(11):952–964. doi:  
34 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034075.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39 31. Gatti M, Palmisano A, Esposito A, et al. Feature tracking myocardial strain analysis in patients  
40 with bileaflet mitral valve prolapse: relationship with LGE and arrhythmias. Eur Radiol.  
41 2021;31(10):7273–7282. doi: 10.1007/s00330-021-07876-z.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 32. Bui AH, Roujol S, Foppa M, et al. Diffuse myocardial fibrosis in patients with mitral valve  
47 prolapse and ventricular arrhythmia. Heart. 2017;103(3):204–209. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-  
48 2016-309303.  
49  
50  
51  
52 33. Dieterlen M-T, Klaeske K, Spampinato R, et al. Histopathological insights into mitral valve  
53 prolapse-induced fibrosis. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2023;10:1057986. doi:  
54 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1057986.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60