

Research Paper



The awareness, characterization, and burden of Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia (CIAS) in clinical practice: Results from a nationwide survey in Italy

Antonio Vita^{a,b}, Stefano Barlati^{a,b,*}, Roberto Cavallaro^{c,d}, Riccardo Cipelli^e, Giulio Corrivetti^f, Dario Delmonte^g, Eleonora Lusito^e, Elisabetta Maia^g, Maria Michela Marino^g, Giuseppe Nicolò^h, Paola Roccaⁱ, Silvana Galderisi^j

^a Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

^b Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services, ASST Spedali Civili of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

^c Department of Clinical Neurosciences, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

^d School of Medicine, Vita -Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy

^e IQVIA Solutions Italy Srl, Milan, Italy

^f Dipartimento di Salute Mentale, ASL Salerno, Italy

^g Medical Affairs, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Italy

^h Dipartimento di Salute Mentale, ASL Roma 5, Italy

ⁱ Department of Neuroscience "Rita Levi Montalcini", University of Turin, 10126 Turin, Italy

^j Department of Mental and Physical Health and Preventive Medicine, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, 80138 Naples, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Assessment

CIAS

Cognitive remediation

Evidence-based

Psychosocial functioning

Recovery

Schizophrenia

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Cognitive Impairment (CIAS) is a core aspect of schizophrenia and one of the main obstacles to clinical and functional recovery in patients. People with CIAS have difficulties with learning and using information in real world. Despite its well-recognized role, it is not yet a diagnostic criterion in DSM-5 and ICD system. The effective management of CIAS represents a critical unmet need of schizophrenia treatment.

Methods: To evaluate the awareness of CIAS in the Italian landscape, we conducted a quantitative survey on psychiatrists highly specialized in schizophrenia, focused on its awareness, assessment, burden, and treatment.

Results: Of 152 participants, 139 (91.4 %) consider CIAS assessment important. The terminology most frequently used to describe CIAS is 'cognitive impairment'. CIAS is assessed, clinically or with formal tools, in approximately 43 % of patients after stabilisation either during follow-up visits ($N = 88$, 67.7 %) or during hospital stay ($N = 57$, 43.8 %). 65 % of evaluated patients are considered affected by CIAS. Formal assessment tools (tests, questionnaires, interviews) are used in about 20 % of the centers. The Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) ($N = 75$, 72.1 %) and the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) ($N = 62$, 59.6 %) are the most frequently used tools for CIAS evaluation.

Conclusions: The clinical characteristics of the patient, structural barriers like the lack of trained personnel or inadequate economic resources, and organizational problems influence the assessment rate. Despite this awareness, greater effort must be made to overcome the barriers, especially economic and organizational ones, which prevent the assessment and treatment of CIAS from becoming established in routine clinical care.

1. Introduction

Schizophrenia is a chronic mental disorder that affects from 0.3 % to 0.7 % of the world's population (GBD 2019 Mental Disorders Collaborators, 2022; Rahman and Lauriello, 2016), and 0.5 % of the Italian

population (Marcellusi et al., 2018). Despite having a low-prevalence, schizophrenia ranks 12th among the 310 most disabling illnesses and injuries globally (GBD 2016 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators, 2017), with a highly variable prevalence and incidence worldwide within countries and at local and neighborhood levels

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: stefano.barlati@unibs.it (S. Barlati).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2025.100352>

Received 5 December 2024; Received in revised form 7 February 2025; Accepted 7 February 2025

Available online 19 February 2025

2215-0013/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

(Kirkbride et al., 2006). Persons with schizophrenia show a wide range of psychotic symptoms, mainly grouped into positive symptoms, consisting of hallucinations, delusions, formal thought disorders (such as tangentiality, incoherence or derailment), negative symptoms (such as poor motivation, asociality, or blunted affect). They also show cognitive symptoms (Ruiz-Castañeda et al., 2022; Correll and Schooler, 2020; McCutcheon et al., 2023; Bowie and Harvey, 2006; Maj et al., 2021), i.e., deficits in learning and retention of verbal information, impaired attention and working memory and, more generally, a reduced ability to learn and use information, independent of positive or negative symptoms (McCutcheon et al., 2023; Tripathi et al., 2018; Zanelli et al., 2019). Approximately 70–80 % (Murante and Cohen, 2017; Shmukler et al., 2015; Harvey et al., 2022; McCleery and Nuechterlein, 2019; Keefe et al., 2011) of people with a confirmed diagnosis of schizophrenia show cognitive impairment. In Italy, a study on the Italian Network for Research on Psychoses, in which CIAS was assessed in 852 outpatients with schizophrenia, 342 unaffected relatives and a sample of 774 healthy Italian subjects, showed that the global cognitive score of patients is more than one (GBD 2019 Mental Disorders Collaborators, 2022) standard deviation below the mean of the control population (Mucci et al., 2018). Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia (CIAS) impacts the ability to perform activities of daily living, work productively, achieve social goals, build satisfying social networks and relationships, and adhere to treatment (Green et al., 2019; Vita et al., 2022a; Pinkham et al., 2018). All of these manifestations of CIAS may represent the first signs of disease risk and, even when mild, can be identified before the onset of psychotic symptoms (Cannon, 2015; Fusar-Poli et al., 2012; Gur et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2015; Sheffield et al., 2018). They are more severe in patients with a confirmed diagnosis of schizophrenia (Reichenberg, 2005), although a link with psychotic symptomatology has not been shown (Alkan et al., 2021). Greater severity of cognitive impairment has also been observed in individuals with an earlier age of onset of the disorders (De la Serna et al., 2023; Rajji et al., 2009). Recent studies (Catalan et al., 2022) show considerable heterogeneity in neurocognitive functioning among individuals and show that different domains are involved. Their characterization maybe challenging due to the lack of universally accepted diagnostic tools, the scarcity of trained personnel in several contexts, and the lack of patients' cooperation especially during acute phases of the illness. The relationship between premorbid functioning and cognitive impairment should also be considered. Patients with better functioning prior to the diagnosis of schizophrenia are more likely to present a less severe cognitive dysfunction than patients with a worse condition before the onset of the disease (Rabinowitz et al., 2002; Rabinowitz et al., 2006). Although there are few long-term longitudinal studies supporting an age-related decline in cognitive impairment, a slight association with late adulthood has been observed in patients with schizophrenia (Fett et al., 2020). In elderly patients, cognitive impairment worsens over time and is a considerable obstacle to clinical and functional recovery (Friedman et al., 2001).

Despite the critical impact of cognitive impairment on functional outcomes, there are no universally accepted tools for its detection, and assessment remains challenging. Recommended approaches (Vita et al., 2022b) include validated test batteries, such as the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB) (Nuechterlein et al., 2008; Marder, 2006) or the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia (BACS) (Keefe, 2004), supplemented by interview-based instruments like the Schizophrenia Cognition Rating Scale (SCoRS) (Keefe et al., 2015) or the Cognitive Assessment Interview (CAI) (Giordano et al., 2022). Among the screening instruments, the recommended one is the Screen for Cognitive Impairment in Psychiatry (SCIP) (Purdon, n.d.). MCCB, BACS, SCoRS and CAI while detailed and accurate, are often complex, require long administration times, cannot be easily managed, and interpreted in a clinical setting, and require significant resources for proper implementation. This contrasts with the need for simpler, more usable, and brief tools that can be easily applied in everyday clinical practice during

a typical medication management appointment, especially in resource-limited settings. The complexity and high cost of more comprehensive tools represent a barrier for many mental health centers, creating an urgent need for more accessible and less burdensome instruments.

As for the treatment of CIAS, to date there are no pharmacological or behavioral treatments approved by any regulatory agencies across the world (Maj et al., 2021; Maroney, 2022; Vita et al., 2024a). Currently available antipsychotics provide limited benefits, although some may have a more favorable impact than others (Blackman et al., 2022). Second-generation antipsychotics are overall preferable to first-generation ones to improve CIAS (Lee et al., 2024; Baldez et al., 2021; Clissold and Crowe, 2019; Ohi et al., 2022; Corponi et al., 2019; Fleischhacker et al., 2019; Parikh et al., 2017). Alongside pharmacological treatments, psychosocial interventions such as cognitive remediation (CR) (Lejeune et al., 2021; Vita et al., 2021; Barlati et al., 2013; Keepers et al., 2020), social skills training, cognitive behavioral therapy, and psychoeducation in combination with CR (Vita et al., 2021; Nibbio et al., 2020), have proven effective in reducing cognitive impairment, while improving functional outcomes and maintaining gains of recovery over the years (Vita et al., 2022b; Bowie et al., 2020; Laws et al., 2018; Sin et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2018; Buonocore et al., 2022; Vita et al., 2024b). A recent meta-analysis including 130 studies and a total of 8851 participants (Vita et al., 2021) showed that the presence of an active and trained therapist, the repetition of cognitive exercises, the development of new cognitive strategies and the integration of the intervention into a structured rehabilitation program are positive moderators of CR efficacy even in the most severe patients. Although promising, limitations mainly consisting of inadequate facilities, lack of best practice guidelines, costs, poor service delivery and scarcity of trained staff reduce its implementation in the rehabilitation process (Lewandowski, 2021; Fan et al., 2017; Medalia et al., 2019). Despite recognized challenges, addressing cognitive impairment as a therapeutic target in schizophrenia remains crucial for improving patient outcomes and reducing social burdens associated with the disorder. Effective interventions have the potential to improve treatment adherence, alleviate caregiver burden, and enhance occupational stability and in general reduce the burden, including the financial one, associated with the condition. Based on the above evidence, we designed and carried out a survey targeting Italian Mental Health Departments. The survey was designed to investigate CIAS awareness and assessment, as well as the degree of dissemination of best practices to address it in current clinical practice, evaluate tools used for assessing cognitive impairment, identify perceived barriers and challenges in the routine management of CIAS, explore the use of pharmacological and psychosocial interventions aimed at improving cognitive function in patients with schizophrenia, and understand the perspectives of Italian psychiatrists regarding these approaches.

2. Methods

We conducted a nationwide quantitative survey targeting experienced psychiatrists, i.e., directors of Mental Health Departments (Dipartimento di Salute Mentale, DSMs), directors of Psychiatric Units, directors of University Hospitals/centers, and directors of Residential Facilities (RFs). Computer Assisted Web Interview (CAWI) methodology was used to collect data. An initial screening telephone interview was conducted to assess participants' eligibility for the survey prior to sending the questionnaire by e-mail. Participants were considered eligible if patients with schizophrenia are treated in the centers they represent and was asked for their consent to participate in the survey.

2.1. Questionnaire

The survey consisted of 14 multiple-choice questions and focused on 3 main topics: Awareness of CIAS and its assessment (2 questions), CIAS assessment (8 questions), CIAS burden and treatment (2 questions) (Supplementary Material).

- Awareness of CIAS and its assessment: participants were asked to rate the relevance they attributed to the CIAS assessment in their daily clinical practice, from not relevant to very important, essential or priority. They were asked to indicate the most suitable terminology to define cognitive impairment in patients with schizophrenia when communicating with the patient and their parents or caregivers.
- CIAS assessment: four questions focused on the number of patients who regularly visit the centers the respondents represent, the percentage of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, the percentage of patients in whom cognitive impairment is assessed and the percentage of patients in whom CIAS is detected. Participants were asked to indicate how neuropsychological assessments are performed (i.e., clinical assessment by medical interview, tests, or cognitive assessment interviews), when and which health professional is responsible for the assessment. They were asked to indicate which of the following factors limit or prevent CIAS assessment in daily clinical practice: reduced economic resources, organizational difficulties, lack of adequately trained staff, unwillingness of the patient, lack of awareness of the issue and lack of effective treatment. Participants were also asked to indicate which of the followings represented the main drivers for a cognitive assessment: the clinical characteristics of the patient, the demand for social support, and/or the observed reduced ability in daily activities.
- CIAS burden and treatment: participants were asked to indicate the main consequence of cognitive impairment in real-life functioning of a person diagnosed with schizophrenia and therapeutic interventions used in daily clinical practice.

Participants were also asked to describe the professional composition of the health centers they lead and to state their professional role. Almost all questions included “other (specify)” response option to allow respondents to provide a response not listed in the answer choices.

2.2. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the statistical software package SAS 9.4 (SAS Corporation, Cary NC). All data are presented as percentages calculated on participants or responses unless otherwise stated. Summary statistics such as mean, weighted mean, and SD were used to describe the data when appropriate.

3. Results

3.1. Participants to the survey

A total of 152 practicing psychiatrists took part in the survey. Thirty-seven (24.3 %) were directors of DSMs, 76 (50.0 %) were directors of Psychiatric Units, 32 (21.1 %) were directors of University Hospitals/centers, and 6 (3.9 %) were directors of RFs. One participant chose not to declare the professional role. Most of the respondents came from Northern Italy (44 %), followed by the South and Islands (38 %), and finally Central Italy (18 %) (Fig. 1). All participants completed the questionnaire.

3.2. Awareness and assessment of CIAS in the Italian clinical practice

For most participants ($N = 139$, 91.4 %), CIAS assessment is considered important in daily clinical practice. Specifically, 17.1 % ($N = 26$) of them considered it essential, 47.3 % ($N = 72$) very important, 26.9 % ($N = 41$) fairly important, 6.5 % ($N = 10$) not very important and 2.0 % ($n = 3$) not at all important. Cognitive evaluation, with routine clinical interview or with formal assessment tools, is performed in 86.0 % ($N = 130$) of centers interviewed, on an average of 43.0 % of the patients with schizophrenia (Fig. 2). For the remaining respondents ($N = 22$, 14.0 %), CIAS assessment is not conducted. On average,


respondents confirmed that 65 % of the evaluated patients are affected by CIAS. The most frequent terminology used to communicate CIAS to the patient and family members/caregivers is “cognitive impairment”, chosen by 36.8 % ($N = 56$) of the participants, followed by “cognitive symptoms” ($N = 45$, 29.6 %), “cognitive deficits” ($N = 42$, 27.6 %) and “cognitive disorders” ($N = 40$, 26.3 %) (Table 1). The terms “cognitive dysfunction”, “cognitive decline”, and “cognitive alteration” were used less frequently: 19.7 % ($N = 30$), 15.1 % ($N = 23$) and 13.8 % ($N = 21$), respectively. Most respondents indicated 2 or >2 alternatives (several options were allowed in the survey). Psychologists, psychiatrists, and psychiatric rehabilitation technicians are the mental health professionals involved in cognitive assessment with psychologists performing most evaluations according to 83 respondents (79.8 %). Psychiatrists perform CIAS assessment in 37.5 % ($N = 39$) of the cases, while psychiatric rehabilitation technician in 8.7 % ($N = 9$) of the cases. Interestingly, the psychologists are solely responsible for CIAS assessments in 58.7 % ($N = 61$) of the cases (i.e., 90–100 % evaluations) as reported by the interviewed psychiatrists. Neurologists, neuropsychologists, and Educators may also participate in the assessment, although to a lesser extent.

3.3. Assessing CIAS: when and how

For most of the psychiatrists ($N = 104$, 80.0 %) who routinely complete CIAS assessment ($N = 130$), the cognitive component is evaluated by means of a clinical assessment and at least one formal tool with the former being adopted in 87.5 % ($N = 91$) and the latter in 69.2 % ($N = 87$) of the centers. Nevertheless, formal evaluation tools (tests, questionnaires, interviews) are routinely used in 20 % of the cases. In 67.7 % ($N = 88$) of the centers carrying out the CIAS assessment ($N = 130$), cognitive impairment is evaluated during the follow-up visits once the patient is stabilized. It is assessed during the hospital stay after the acute phase in 43.8 % centers ($N = 57$), while in 28.4 % ($N = 37$) of the centers during the first visit (Fig. 3). According to the respondents, in 29.8 % of the centers ($N = 31$) there is a dedicated multidisciplinary team for CIAS assessment. Formal assessment with tools is performed in 20 % of the centers: tests, test batteries and interview-based assessment tools are used. The Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) is the most frequently used test ($N = 75$, 72.1 %), followed by the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) ($N = 62$, 59.6 %). The percentage of centers using MCCB and BACS test are comparable ($N = 22$, 21.2 %, and $N = 19$, 18.3 % respectively). The UCSD Performance-Based Skills Assessment (UPSA) is used in only 9.6 % ($N = 10$) of the centers. No differences were reported for the administration of the interviews. The CAI and SCORS are each administered in 27.9 % ($N = 29$) of the centers (Fig. 4). Interviews are always administered in combination with at least one test/battery, generally administered at the same time. Tests and batteries are also mostly used in combination rather than as standalone instruments.

3.4. Factors promoting or hindering the CIAS evaluation

For psychiatrists ($N = 110$; 84.6 %) who perform cognitive assessment ($N = 130$) the clinical characteristics of the patient have the greatest influence on the decision to evaluate CIAS (Table 2). Impairment in performing daily activities such as reduced autonomy is the second most important factor for 57.6 % ($N = 75$) of the psychiatrists followed by claiming disability or other forms of support for 42.3 % ($N = 55$) physicians. It is mostly uncommon for the patient himself/herself to request a CIAS assessment ($N = 15$, 11.5 %) as well as on the basis of a specific Diagnostic Therapeutic Assistance Pathway (PDTA) ($N = 15$, 11.5 %), whereas it is more frequently requested by the caregiver ($N = 40$, 30.7 %) or by other mental health professionals ($N = 39$, 30 %), such as the psychologist, the rehabilitation technician or the nurse, but also by the general practitioner. In contrast, the greatest obstacles to CIAS assessment are the lack of adequately trained staff and organizational problems for almost all psychiatrists ($N = 129$, 99.2 %), as shown in






Fig. 2. A schematic representation of CIAS assessment.
CIAS: Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia.

Table 1
The terminology most used by Italian psychiatrists to refer to CIAS.

Terminology	N	%
Cognitive impairment	56	36.8
Cognitive symptoms	45	29.6
Cognitive deficits	42	27.6
Cognitive disorders	40	26.3
Cognitive dysfunction	30	19.7
Cognitive decline	23	15.1
Cognitive alteration	21	13.8

The terminology used by the Italian psychiatrists in daily clinical practice to refer to CIAS. Absolute values and percentages refer to total respondents ($N = 152$). More than one answer was allowed resulting into non-mutually exclusive response options.

CIAS: Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia.




Fig. 3. CIAS evaluation setting in the Italian clinical practice.
The principal moments of cognitive impairment assessment in patients with schizophrenia by the Italian psychiatrists.
CIAS: Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia.

Table 2. Although to a lesser extent, limited financial resources ($N = 35$, 26.9 %) also represent a barrier. Even if the patient's clinical characteristics may favor CIAS assessment, presentation of clinical symptoms can also make it challenging for 6.9 % of the psychiatrists ($N = 9$) and sometimes the patient himself/herself could influence the possibility of a cognitive evaluation ($N = 29$, 22.3 %). Other key factors are the reduced awareness of the relevant role of cognitive impairment in schizophrenia ($N = 15$, 11.5 %) and the lack of effective therapies ($N = 5$, 3.9 %). Only

for a minority of respondents there are no impediments to CIAS assessment ($N = 3$, 2.3 %). Further factors, although rarer, are the lack of time and the absence of cognitive assessment in treatment protocols.

3.5. CIAS burden and treatment

For 90.1 % ($N = 137$) of all respondents ($N = 152$), reduced autonomy in daily functioning is the main consequence of cognitive impairment in patients with schizophrenia, followed by increased burden on parents and caregivers ($N = 119$, 78.2 %), increased demand for residential care ($N = 109$, 71.7 %), and social support ($N = 98$, 64.4 %), as shown in Table 3. A worsening of schizophrenia symptoms was also reported by 37.5 % of psychiatrists ($N = 57$) along with reduced adherence to treatment ($N = 53$, 34.8 %) and increased frequency of hospitalization ($N = 55$, 36.1 %). Second and third generation antipsychotics are widely used in 94.7 % ($N = 144$) of the centers (Fig. 5). Psychoeducation and CR are also adopted treatment options in 59.8 % ($N = 91$) and 46.7 % ($N = 68$) of the centers respectively. Community service support is required in 46.7 % ($N = 71$) of the centers. Other rehabilitative interventions such as physical exercise, active and receptive arts engagement, social skills training, and job placement support are adopted to reduce the impact of cognitive impairment in 51 % of centers ($N = 77$). Interestingly, nutritional monitoring also plays a role in reducing cognitive decline and improving the long-term well-being and quality of life of the patient with schizophrenia.

4. Discussion

Cognitive impairment is a key feature of schizophrenia. Cognitive assessment may contribute to early diagnosis of schizophrenia and early intervention (Strassnig et al., 2018; Bora and Murray, 2014; Fusar-Poli et al., 2016; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2015; Sommer et al., 2016). In patients with an established diagnosis, interventions targeting CIAS can undoubtedly contribute to the patient's recovery in a long-term perspective (Vita and Barlati, 2018; Vita and Barlati, 2019). Given its relevance in schizophrenia as a major contributor to poor functional outcomes, we carried out a study to investigate the perception, assessment, and treatment of it in Italy in daily clinical practice among mental health specialists through a nationwide survey. A total of 152 psychiatrists highly specialized in schizophrenia took part in the survey. Most of them consider the assessment of CIAS very important and a priority.




Fig. 4. Assessment tools used for CIAS evaluation.

The most used tools for the assessment of Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia (CIAS) in daily practice. Tests and test batteries (MMSE, WAIS, MCCB, BACS, UPSA) and interview-based assessment tools (CAI, SCORS). The percentages on the x-axis refer to the centers that perform neuropsychological testing for CIAS assessment ($N = 104$) adopting the methodologies reported on the y-axis and are not mutually exclusive.

Table 2

The promoting factors and barriers to CIAS evaluation.

	N	%
Factors that promote CIAS evaluation		
Clinical characteristics of the patient	110	84.6
Impairment in performing daily activities	75	57.6
Disability support claiming	55	42.3
Caregiver's request	40	30.7
Mental health professionals' request	39	30.0
Patient's request	15	11.5
Specific PDTA	15	11.5
Barriers to CIAS evaluation		
Organizational barriers and lack of a trained staff	129	99.2
Limited financial resources	35	26.9
Patient's unwillingness	29	22.3
Limited awareness about CIAS	15	11.5
Clinical characteristics of the patient	9	6.9
Lack of effective treatments	5	3.9

The factors that promote or hinder CIAS assessment in daily clinical practice. Absolute values and percentages refer to respondents performing the CIAS assessment ($N = 130$). More than one answer was allowed resulting into non-mutually exclusive response options.

CIAS: Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia; PDTA: Diagnostic Therapeutic Assistance Pathway.

Table 3

The impact of CIAS on patients with schizophrenia.

	N	%
Reduced autonomy in daily functioning	137	90.1
Increased burden on parents and caregivers	119	78.2
Increased demand for residential care	109	71.7
Increased demand for social support	98	64.4
Worsening of schizophrenia symptoms	57	37.5
Increased hospitalization events	55	36.1
Reduced adherence to treatment	53	34.8

The most common consequences of CIAS on patients with schizophrenia as reported by respondents. Absolute values and percentages refer to the total number of participants ($N = 152$). More than one answer was allowed resulting into non-mutually exclusive response options.

CIAS: Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia.

Despite this, in Italy it is assessed in less than half of the patients, and is confirmed, on average, in 65 % of them. Compared to what is expected based on the literature, where cognitive impairment is reported to be found in >80 % of patients (McCleery and Nuechterlein, 2019), in the Italian context its prevalence appears to be underreported.

Several factors hinder the possibility of effective assessment and diagnosis of CIAS and may influence the observed results. One of the most important is the use of tools that are not primarily designed for CIAS and are therefore inaccurate, characterized by low sensitivity and often do not provide optimal classification levels. Moreover, lack of adequately trained staff and organizational problems, as stated by almost all participants, together with the costs to be incurred, and the limited economic resources available have also an impact. The patient's clinical characteristics, and the absence of effective therapies together with the lack of a common terminology to refer to the impaired functioning may represent important barriers to CIAS evaluation and identification in patients. In fact, a huge heterogeneity was found concerning the definition of CIAS. The terminology most frequently used when talking about it with patients, their relatives and caregivers is "cognitive impairment" followed by "cognitive symptoms", "cognitive deficits" and "cognitive disorders". All these terms unequivocally identify cognitive impairment as a dysfunction with an independent and clear symptomatology, in addition to the positive and negative symptoms associated with schizophrenia. Although no consensual terminology has been found, the attempt to avoid the use of stigmatic terminology such as "deficit" is noteworthy.

In centers where CIAS assessment is performed, cognitive impairment is assessed in different settings and mostly when the patient is clinically stable, during follow-up visits during the hospitalization. Only in a few centers it is assessed at the first medical contact. This is consistent with the first-line intervention on a patient with schizophrenia aimed primarily at neutralizing the acute phases of the illness, but also because the presence of the major symptoms of the disease clearly hinders the possibility of a proper cognitive assessment. A preliminary clinical assessment of cognitive impairment is performed in almost all sites performing the CIAS evaluation. In more than half of them, formal assessment tools consisting of tests, batteries, and interviews are also used. The use of neuropsychological tests without preliminary clinical assessment is infrequent. They are adopted as the sole instruments for CIAS assessment in only 20 % of the centers where it is carried out, thus highlighting the lack of confidence in their diagnostic efficacy in most cases, as they are considered inadequate, not designed




Fig. 5. Therapeutic options for CIAS treatment

The frequently adopted therapeutic strategies to treat the Cognitive Impairment Associated with Schizophrenia (CIAS) (CIAS) are reported. Percentages on the x-axis refer to the total number of participants ($N = 152$).

to evaluate the cognitive function, specifically, of a patient with schizophrenia. MMSE and WAIS are the most frequently used tests. MCCB, BACS and UPSA are used to a lesser extent. More infrequent is the administration of interviews (CAI or SCORS) which are used by half of the centers, without prevalence of one over the other and always in combination with at least one test. Overall, these results suggest a lack of a consensus on the way CIAS is assessed. Different approaches are adopted, and psychiatrists often use tools that, although not specifically designed for the evaluation of cognitive impairment, are the only ones available and commonly used and for which they are trained.

Psychologists, psychiatrists, and psychiatric rehabilitation technicians participate to varying degrees in the evaluation. The psychologist is the main figure involved in the assessments as it is responsible for all assessments in more than half of the centers, followed by psychiatrists and the psychiatric rehabilitation technician.

Among the factors guiding and supporting the CIAS assessment, the patient's clinical characteristics play the most important role, followed by reduced autonomy in daily activities and the need for social support. It is more frequent that the request comes from the patient's caregiver because of an increased burden related to the cognitive impairment, while it is less frequent for it to come from the patient themselves.

While it is definitively clear that the main consequence of CIAS is reflected in daily life, in the reduced ability to live independently, the effect on the severity of the clinical picture, the increased number of hospital admissions and reduced adherence to antipsychotic medication, each reported by one third of the participating psychiatrists, should also be considered as key factors to promote CIAS assessment and treatment to improve the patient's quality of life overall. In line with this, more effort should be made to identify reliable and accurate screening tools and to provide clear guidance in each center on the most effective treatment for CIAS. Currently, second- and third-generation antipsychotics are used by most psychiatrists and in most DSMs (94.7 % of participants), although their effect is controversial and not specifically reported in their label. Interestingly, non-pharmacological therapies such as CR, psychoeducation and other forms of rehabilitation interventions are gaining ground among mental health specialists, since they are used in about half of the sites, with a different level of penetration. Physical activity, and dietary intake control are also among the interventions commonly used by Italian psychiatrists with benefits on patients living with schizophrenia, as also shown by meta-analysis

studies (Firth et al., 2016).

Overall, our data support that CIAS is a well-recognized aspect of schizophrenia among psychiatrists and mental health professionals, in Italy, with a non-negligible clinical attention. Despite a generalized awareness of the benefits that cognitive impairment assessment and treatment can bring to the patient to achieve a good quality of life and to reduce the internalized stigma (Chan et al., 2019; Schwarzbald et al., 2021; Amore et al., 2020; Barlati et al., 2022; Yanos et al., 2020), there are systemic factors that need to be overcome to raise awareness and address CIAS. Organizational barriers, insufficient healthcare financing, inadequate linguistic and cultural validation, as well as poor patient involvement and access to healthcare, often prevent optimal intervention in daily clinical practice with implications on the cognitive performance of the patient's rehabilitation process and on social and caregiving burden. The structured training of specialists, the standardization of the assessment approaches and tools used, the sharing of best practices, can undoubtedly be key actions to be undertaken to bridge the gap between the recognized importance of CIAS as unmet medical need and its implementation in daily practice in line with a patient-centered perspective.

4.1. Limitations

Although the psychiatrists who participated in the survey are highly experienced mental health professionals, the sample used for this survey represents only a fraction of all psychiatrists working in Italy. Likewise, they only represent local clinical practice even though participants are representative of several Mental Health Departments spread across the country. Another important limitation is a possible bias due to CIAS assessment, burden and treatment reporting referred to facilities that have greater access to financial and structural resources compared to others that lack diagnostic tools, expertise, and infrastructure to take into consideration the cognitive impairment of a patient with schizophrenia and an adequate rehabilitation program that includes cognitive remediation.

5. Conclusions

Cognitive impairment is one of the main features of schizophrenia with a significant impact on functional outcome. To date, its systematic

assessment and management in daily clinical practice is hampered by a number of factors, consisting mainly of financial and structural/organizational barriers. The unavailability of targeted therapeutic interventions also strongly impacts and discourages the possibility of taking action to address it. Here we presented the results of a national survey on CIAS awareness among Italian psychiatrists to map and describe the perception and perspective of cognitive impairment in the Italian real-world scenario. Among Italian specialists, the assessment of cognitive function is considered important and is performed in most of the sites represented by the participants. However, the lack of a unifying terminology to refer to cognitive impairment, the use of non-specific assessment instruments that are often incapable of capturing the specificities of CIAS, the financial and organizational barriers to adequately train specialists and healthcare personnel and to perform the assessment during routine patient management, hinder the possibility of performing a widespread assessment of CIAS in patients with schizophrenia in daily clinical practice. Overall, this study highlights that cognitive impairment should be systematically assessed in research and clinical practice settings, given the acknowledgement of its key role by the Italian psychiatrists and by the whole scientific community. The adoption of shared assessment protocols specifically designed for CIAS should be promoted, paving the way for further advances in the field of assessment, recognition, and treatment of cognitive functions in patients with schizophrenia. The results presented in this study can undoubtedly support and guide further efforts towards the recognition of CIAS as an effective therapeutic target with the ultimate goal of improving the quality of patient care and promoting patients' recovery from a patient-centric perspective.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Antonio Vita: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. **Stefano Barlati:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. **Roberto Cavallaro:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. **Riccardo Cipelli:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision. **Giulio Corrivetti:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. **Dario Delmonte:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization. **Eleonora Lusito:** Writing – original draft, Visualization, Project administration, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Elisabetta Maia:** Writing – review & editing. **Maria Michela Marino:** Writing – review & editing. **Giuseppe Nicolò:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. **Paola Rocca:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. **Silvana Galderisi:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization.

Funding

The study was supported and funded by Boehringer-Ingelheim, Italy.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

In the last two years, Prof. Vita has received, directly or indirectly, support for clinical studies or trials, conferences, consultancies, congress presentations, advisory boards from: Alkermes, Angelini Pharma, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Roche, Rovi, and Teva.

In the last two years Prof. Barlati has received advisory board, lecture, or consulting fees, outside the present work, from: Angelini Pharma, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Lundbeck, Otsuka, and Rovi.

In the last two years Prof. Cavallaro has received fees for participation in National Boards from Angelini Pharma, Boehringer Ingelheim and for congress Faculty participation from Rovi.

In the last two years, Prof. Galderisi received advisory board/consultant fees, or honoraria/expenses from the following drug companies: Angelini Pharma, Boehringer Ingelheim, Gedeon Richter-

Recordati, Janssen, Lundbeck, Otsuka, and Rovi.

Riccardo Cipelli and Eleonora Lusito have disclosed that they are employees of IQVIA Solutions SRL.

The remaining authors declare that they have no competing interests.

The authors did not receive payment related to the development of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to all psychiatrists participating in this study (Supplementary Material). IQVIA Solution Italy supported the conduction, scientific support, data management, statistical analysis, and manuscript preparation of the study.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2025.100352>.

Data availability

Data can be made available on request on a case-by-case basis and depending on legal/privacy regulations.

References

- Alkan, E., Davies, G., Evans, S.L., 2021. Cognitive impairment in schizophrenia: relationships with cortical thickness in fronto-temporal regions, and dissociability from symptom severity. *NPJ Schizophr.* 7 (1), 20.
- Amore, M., Murri, M.B., Calcagno, P., Rocca, P., Rossi, A., Aguglia, E., et al., 2020. The association between insight and depressive symptoms in schizophrenia: undirected and Bayesian network analyses. *Eur Psychiatr.* 63 (1), e46.
- Baldez, D.P., Biazus, T.B., Rabelo-da-Ponte, F.D., Nogaro, G.P., Martins, D.S., Kunz, M., et al., 2021. The effect of antipsychotics on the cognitive performance of individuals with psychotic disorders: network meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 126, 265–275.
- Barlati, S., Deste, G., De Peri, L., Ariu, C., Vita, A., 2013. Cognitive remediation in schizophrenia: current status and future perspectives. *Schizophr. Res. Treat.* 2013, 156084.
- Barlati, S., Morena, D., Nibbio, G., Cacciani, P., Corsini, P., Mosca, A., et al., 2022. Internalized stigma among people with schizophrenia: relationship with socio-demographic, clinical and medication-related features. *Schizophr. Res.* 243, 364–371.
- Blackman, R.K., Dickinson, D., Eisenberg, D.P., Gregory, M.D., Apud, J.A., Berman, K.F., 2022. Antipsychotic medication-mediated cognitive change in schizophrenia and polygenic score for cognitive ability. *Schizophr. Res. Cogn.* 27, 100223.
- Bora, E., Murray, R.M., 2014. Meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in ultra-high risk to psychosis and first-episode psychosis: do the cognitive deficits progress over, or after, the onset of psychosis? *Schizophr. Bull.* 40 (4), 744–755.
- Bowie, C.R., Harvey, P.D., 2006. Cognitive deficits and functional outcome in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* 2 (4), 531–536.
- Bowie, C.R., Bell, M.D., Fiszdon, J.M., Johannesen, J.K., Lindenmayer, J.P., McGurk, S.R., et al., 2020. Cognitive remediation for schizophrenia: an expert working group white paper on core techniques. *Schizophr. Res.* 215, 49–53.
- Buonocore, M., Spangaro, M., Bechi, M., Trezzani, S., Terragni, R., Martini, F., et al., 2022. Cognitive remediation in schizophrenia: what happens after 10 years? *Schizophr. Res. Cogn.* 29, 100251.
- Cannon, T.D., 2015. How schizophrenia develops: cognitive and brain mechanisms underlying onset of psychosis. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 19 (12), 744–756.
- Catalan, A., Radua, J., McCutcheon, R., Aymerich, C., Pedruzo, B., González-Torres, M.A., et al., 2022. Examining the variability of neurocognitive functioning in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis: a meta-analysis. *Transl. Psychiatry* 12 (1), 198.
- Chan, S.K.W., Kao, S.Y.S., Leung, S.L., Hui, C.L.M., Lee, E.H.M., Chang, W.C., et al., 2019. Relationship between neurocognitive function and clinical symptoms with self-stigma in patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. *J. Ment. Health* 28 (6), 583–588.
- Clissold, M., Crowe, S.F., 2019. Comparing the effect of the subcategories of atypical antipsychotic medications on cognition in schizophrenia using a meta-analytic approach. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* 41 (1), 26–42.
- Corponi, F., Fabbri, C., Bitter, I., Montgomery, S., Vieta, E., Kasper, S., et al., 2019. Novel antipsychotics specificity profile: a clinically oriented review of lurasidone, brexpiprazole, cariprazine and lumateperone. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 29 (9), 971–985.
- Correll, C.U., Schooler, N.R., 2020. Negative symptoms in schizophrenia: a review and clinical guide for recognition, assessment, and treatment. *NDT* 16, 519–534.

- De la Serna, E., Puig, O., Mezquida, G., Moreno-Izco, L., Merchan-Naranjo, J., Amoretti, S., et al., 2023. Relationship between cognition and age at onset of first-episode psychosis: comparative study between adolescents, young adults, and adults. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 32 (4), 639–649.
- Fan, Q., Liao, L., Pan, G., 2017. The application of cognitive remediation therapy in the treatment of mental disorders. *Shanghai Arch. Psychiatry* 29 (6), 373–375.
- Fett, A.K.J., Velthorst, E., Reichenberg, A., Ruggero, C.J., Callahan, J.L., Fochtmann, L.J., et al., 2020. Long-term changes in cognitive functioning in individuals with psychotic disorders: findings from the Suffolk County mental health project. *JAMA Psychiatr.* 77 (4), 387–396.
- Firth, J., Stubbs, B., Rosenbaum, S., Vancampfort, D., Malchow, B., Schuch, F., et al., 2016. Aerobic exercise improves cognitive functioning in people with schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *SCHBUL* 43 (3), 551–553.
- Fleischhacker, W., Galderisi, S., Laszlovsky, I., Sztamári, B., Barabássy, Á., Acsai, K., et al., 2019. The efficacy of cariprazine in negative symptoms of schizophrenia: post hoc analyses of PANSS individual items and PANSS-derived factors. *Eur. Psychiatry* 58, 1–9.
- Friedman, J.I., Harvey, P.D., Coleman, T., Moriarty, P.J., Bowie, C., Parrella, M., et al., 2001. Six-year follow-up study of cognitive and functional status across the lifespan in schizophrenia: a comparison with Alzheimer's disease and Normal aging. *AJP* 158 (9), 1441–1448.
- Fusar-Poli, P., Deste, G., Smieskova, R., Barlati, S., Yung, A.R., Howes, O., et al., 2012. Cognitive functioning in prodromal psychosis: a meta-analysis. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 69 (6), 562–571.
- Fusar-Poli, P., Cappucciati, M., Borgwardt, S., Woods, S.W., Addington, J., Nelson, B., et al., 2016. Heterogeneity of psychosis risk within individuals at clinical high risk: a Meta-analytical stratification. *JAMA Psychiatr.* 73 (2), 113.
- GBD 2016 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators, 2017. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* 390 (10100), 1211–1259.
- GBD 2019 Mental Disorders Collaborators, 2022. Global, regional, and national burden of 12 mental disorders in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Psychiatry* 9 (2), 137–150.
- Giordano, G.M., Palumbo, D., Mucci, A., Ventura, J., Giuliani, L., Perrotelli, A., et al., 2022. The Cognitive Assessment Interview (CAI): association with neuropsychological scores and real-life functioning in a large sample of Italian subjects with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 241, 161–170.
- Green, M.F., Horan, W.P., Lee, J., 2019. Nonsocial and social cognition in schizophrenia: current evidence and future directions. *World Psychiatry* 18 (2), 146–161.
- Gur, R.C., Calkins, M.E., Satterthwaite, T.D., Ruparel, K., Bilker, W.B., Moore, T.M., et al., 2014. Neurocognitive growth charting in psychosis spectrum youths. *JAMA Psychiatr.* 71 (4), 366.
- Harvey, P.D., Bosia, M., Cavallaro, R., Howes, O.D., Kahn, R.S., Leucht, S., et al., 2022. Cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia: an expert group paper on the current state of the art. *Schizophr. Res. Cogn.* 29, 100249.
- Keefe, R., 2004. The Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia: reliability, sensitivity, and comparison with a standard neurocognitive battery. *Schizophr. Res.* 68 (2–3), 283–297.
- Keefe, R.S.E., Fox, K.H., Harvey, P.D., Cucchiaro, J., Siu, C., Loebel, A., 2011. Characteristics of the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery in a 29-site antipsychotic schizophrenia clinical trial. *Schizophr. Res.* 125 (2–3), 161–168.
- Keefe, R.S.E., Davis, V.G., Spagnola, N.B., Hilt, D., Dgetluck, N., Ruse, S., et al., 2015. Reliability, validity and treatment sensitivity of the Schizophrenia Cognition Rating Scale. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 25 (2), 176–184.
- Keepers, G.A., Fochtmann, L.J., Anzia, J.M., Benjamin, S., Lyness, J.M., Mojtai, R., et al., 2020. The American Psychiatric Association practice guideline for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 177 (9), 868–872.
- Kirkbride, J.B., Fearon, P., Morgan, C., Dazzan, P., Morgan, K., Tarrant, J., et al., 2006. Heterogeneity in incidence rates of schizophrenia and other psychotic syndromes: findings from the 3-center AeSOP study. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 63 (3), 250–258.
- Laws, K.R., Darlington, N., Kondel, T.K., McKenna, P.J., Jauhar, S., 2018. Cognitive Behavioural therapy for schizophrenia - outcomes for functioning, distress and quality of life: a meta-analysis. *BMC Psychol.* 6 (1), 32.
- Lee, T.Y., Hong, S.B., Shin, N.Y., Kwon, J.S., 2015. Social cognitive functioning in prodromal psychosis: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res.* 164 (1–3), 28–34.
- Lee, M., Cervall, M., Borg, J., Plavén-Sigra, P., Larsson, C., Erhardt, S., et al., 2024. Cognitive function and variability in antipsychotic drug-naïve patients with first-episode psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatr.* 81 (5), 468.
- Lejeune, J.A., Northrop, A., Kurtz, M.M., 2021. A Meta-analysis of cognitive remediation for schizophrenia: efficacy and the role of participant and treatment factors. *Schizophr. Bull.* 47 (4), 997–1006.
- Lewandowski, K.E., 2021. Feasibility and tolerability of a cognitive remediation clinical service in first episode coordinated specialty care. *Early Interv. Psychiatr.* 15 (2), 391–396.
- Maj, M., van Os, J., De Hert, M., Gaebel, W., Galderisi, S., Green, M.F., et al., 2021. The clinical characterization of the patient with primary psychosis aimed at personalization of management. *World Psychiatry* 20 (1), 4–33.
- Marcellusi, A., Fabiano, G., Viti, R., Francesca Morel, P.C., Nicolò, G., Siracusano, A., et al., 2018. Economic burden of schizophrenia in Italy: a probabilistic cost of illness analysis. *BMJ Open* 8 (2), e018359.
- Marder, S.R., 2006. The NIMH-MATRICS project for developing cognition-enhancing agents for schizophrenia. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 8 (1), 109–113.
- Maroney, M., 2022. Management of cognitive and negative symptoms in schizophrenia. *Ment. Health Clin.* 12 (5), 282–299.
- McCleery, A., Nuechterlein, K.H., 2019. Cognitive impairment in psychotic illness: prevalence, profile of impairment, developmental course, and treatment considerations. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 21 (3), 239–248.
- McCutcheon, R.A., Keefe, R.S.E., McGuire, P.K., 2023. Cognitive impairment in schizophrenia: aetiology, pathophysiology, and treatment. *Mol. Psychiatry* 28 (5), 1902–1918.
- Medalia, A., Erlich, M.D., Soumet-Leman, C., Saperstein, A.M., 2019. Translating cognitive behavioral interventions from bench to bedside: the feasibility and acceptability of cognitive remediation in research as compared to clinical settings. *Schizophr. Res.* 203, 49–54.
- Mucci, A., Galderisi, S., Green, M.F., Nuechterlein, K., Rucci, P., Gibertoni, D., et al., 2018. Familial aggregation of MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery scores in a large sample of outpatients with schizophrenia and their unaffected relatives. *Psychol. Med.* 48 (8), 1359–1366.
- Murante, T., Cohen, C.I., 2017. Cognitive functioning in older adults with schizophrenia. *Focus (Am. Psychiatr. Publ.)* 15 (1), 26–34.
- Nibbio, G., Barlati, S., Cacciani, P., Corsini, P., Mosca, A., Ceraso, A., et al., 2020. Evidence-based integrated intervention in patients with schizophrenia: a pilot study of feasibility and effectiveness in a real-world rehabilitation setting. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17 (10), 3352.
- Nuechterlein, K.H., Green, M.F., Kern, R.S., Baade, L.E., Barch, D.M., Cohen, J.D., et al., 2008. The MATRICS consensus cognitive battery, part 1: test selection, reliability, and validity. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 165 (2), 203–213.
- Ohi, K., Muto, Y., Sugiyama, S., Shioiri, T., 2022. Safety and efficacy in randomized controlled trials of second-generation antipsychotics versus placebo for cognitive impairments in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 42 (2), 227–229.
- Parikh, N.B., Robinson, D.M., Clayton, A.H., 2017. Clinical role of brexpiprazole in depression and schizophrenia. *Ther. Clin. Risk Manag.* 13, 299–306.
- Pinkham, A.E., Harvey, P.D., Penn, D.L., 2018. Social cognition psychometric evaluation: results of the final validation study. *Schizophr. Bull.* 44 (4), 737–748.
- Purdon, The Screen for Cognitive Impairment in Psychiatry (SCIP): Instructions and three alternate forms. PNL Inc., Edmonton.
- Rabinowitz, J., De Smedt, G., Harvey, P.D., Davidson, M., 2002. Relationship between premorbid functioning and symptom severity as assessed at first episode of psychosis. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 159 (12), 2021–2026.
- Rabinowitz, J., Harvey, P.D., Eerdeken, M., Davidson, M., 2006. Premorbid functioning and treatment response in recent-onset schizophrenia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 189, 31–35.
- Rahman, T., Lauriello, J., 2016. Schizophrenia: an overview. *Focus (Am. Psychiatr. Publ.)* 14 (3), 300–307.
- Rajji, T.K., Ismail, Z., Mulsant, B.H., 2009. Age at onset and cognition in schizophrenia: meta-analysis. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 195 (4), 286–293.
- Reichenberg, A., 2005. Cognitive impairment as a risk factor for psychosis. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 7 (1), 31–38.
- Ruiz-Castañeda, P., Santiago Molina, E., Aguirre Loaiza, H., Daza González, M.T., 2022. Positive symptoms of schizophrenia and their relationship with cognitive and emotional executive functions. *Cogn. Ther. Res.* 7 (1), 78.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Michel, C., Schmidt, S.J., Schimmelmann, B.G., Maric, N.P., Salokangas, R.K.R., et al., 2015. EPA guidance on the early detection of clinical high risk states of psychoses. *Eur. psychiatr.* 30 (3), 405–416.
- Schwarzbold, M.L., Kern, R.S., Novacek, D.M., McGovern, J.E., Catalano, L.T., Green, M.F., 2021. Self-stigma in psychotic disorders: clinical, cognitive, and functional correlates in a diverse sample. *Schizophr. Res.* 228, 145–150.
- Sheffield, J.M., Karcher, N.R., Barch, D.M., 2018. Cognitive deficits in psychotic disorders: a lifespan perspective. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 28 (4), 509–533.
- Shmukler, A.B., Gurovich, I.Y., Agius, M., Zaytseva, Y., 2015. Long-term trajectories of cognitive deficits in schizophrenia: a critical overview. *Eur. Psychiatry* 30 (8), 1002–1010.
- Sin, J., Gillard, S., Spain, D., Cornelius, V., Chen, T., Henderson, C., 2017. Effectiveness of psychoeducational interventions for family carers of people with psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 56, 13–24.
- Sommer, I.E., Bearden, C.E., Van Dellen, E., Breetvelt, E.J., Duijff, S.N., Majer, K., et al., 2016. Early interventions in risk groups for schizophrenia: what are we waiting for? *NPJ Schizophr.* 2 (1), 16003.
- Strassnig, M., Bowie, C., Pinkham, A.E., Penn, D., Twamley, E.W., Patterson, T.L., et al., 2018. Which levels of cognitive impairments and negative symptoms are related to functional deficits in schizophrenia? *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 104, 124–129.
- Tripathi, A., Kar, S.K., Shukla, R., 2018. Cognitive deficits in schizophrenia: understanding the biological correlates and remediation strategies. *Clin. Psychopharmacol. Neurosci.* 16 (1), 7–17.
- Turner, D.T., McGlanaghy, E., Cuijpers, P., Van Der Gaag, M., Karyotaki, E., MacBeth, A., 2018. A meta-analysis of social skills training and related interventions for psychosis. *Schizophr. Bull.* 44 (3), 475–491.
- Vita, A., Barlati, S., 2018. Recovery from schizophrenia: is it possible? *Curr. Opin. Psychiatr.* 31 (3), 246–255.
- Vita, A., Barlati, S., 2019. The implementation of evidence-based psychiatric rehabilitation: challenges and opportunities for mental health services. *Front. Psychol.* 10, 147.
- Vita, A., Barlati, S., Ceraso, A., Nibbio, G., Ariu, C., Deste, G., et al., 2021. Effectiveness, core elements, and moderators of response of cognitive remediation for schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *JAMA Psychiatr.* 78 (8), 848–858.

- Vita, A., Gaebel, W., Mucci, A., Sachs, G., Erfurth, A., Barlati, S., et al., 2022a. European Psychiatric Association guidance on assessment of cognitive impairment in schizophrenia. *Eur Psychiatr.* 65 (1), e58.
- Vita, A., Gaebel, W., Mucci, A., Sachs, G., Barlati, S., Giordano, G.M., et al., 2022b. European Psychiatric Association guidance on treatment of cognitive impairment in schizophrenia. *Eur Psychiatr.* 65 (1), e57.
- Vita, A., Nibbio, G., Barlati, S., 2024a. Pharmacological treatment of cognitive impairment associated with schizophrenia: state of the art and future perspectives. *Schizophr. Bull. Open* 5 (1), sgae013.
- Vita, A., Barlati, S., Ceraso, A., Nibbio, G., Durante, F., Facchi, M., et al., 2024b. Durability of effects of cognitive remediation on cognition and psychosocial functioning in schizophrenia: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *AJP* 181 (6), 520–531.
- Yanos, P.T., DeLuca, J.S., Roe, D., Lysaker, P.H., 2020. The impact of illness identity on recovery from severe mental illness: a review of the evidence. *Psychiatry Res.* 288, 112950.
- Zanelli, J., Mollon, J., Sandin, S., Morgan, C., Dazzan, P., Pilecka, L., et al., 2019. Cognitive change in schizophrenia and other psychoses in the decade following the first episode. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 176 (10), 811–819.