



Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Interventions for replacing missing teeth: 1- versus 2-stage implant placement (Review)

Esposito M, Grusovin MG, Chew YS, Coulthard P, Worthington HV

Esposito M, Grusovin MG, Chew YS, Coulthard P, Worthington HV.
Interventions for replacing missing teeth: 1- versus 2-stage implant placement.
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2018, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD006698.
DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006698.pub3.

www.cochranelibrary.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL	1
WHAT'S NEW	1
HISTORY	1
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	2

[Intervention Review]

Interventions for replacing missing teeth: 1- versus 2-stage implant placement

Marco Esposito¹, Maria Gabriella Grusovin², Yun Shane Chew³, Paul Coulthard⁴, Helen V Worthington¹

¹Cochrane Oral Health, Division of Dentistry, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. ²Private practice, Gorizia, Italy. ³Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. ⁴Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Division of Dentistry, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Contact address: Marco Esposito, Cochrane Oral Health, Division of Dentistry, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, JR Moore Building, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK. espositomarco@hotmail.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane Oral Health Group.

Publication status and date: Withdrawn from publication for reasons stated in the review, published in Issue 5, 2018.

Citation: Esposito M, Grusovin MG, Chew YS, Coulthard P, Worthington HV. Interventions for replacing missing teeth: 1- versus 2-stage implant placement. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2018, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD006698. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006698.pub3.

Copyright © 2018 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

The editorial group responsible for this previously published document have withdrawn it from publication.

REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL

This review derives from the original review 'Interventions for replacing missing teeth: surgical techniques for placing dental implants'. At the time only one eligible randomised controlled trial (RCT) (Barber 1996) was identified but was excluded. The same RCT is now included.

Cochrane Oral Health withdrew this review as of Issue 5, 2018. Authors are unable to update the review. The review is substantially out-of-date and does not meet current Cochrane methodological and reporting standards, but is correct at the date of publication. The review has been withdrawn from the Cochrane Library, but readers can still access previous versions in the 'Other versions' tab.

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 21 January 2009.

Date	Event	Description
23 May 2018	Amended	Cochrane Oral Health withdrew this review as of Issue 5, 2018. Authors are unable to update the review. The review is substantially out-of-date and does not meet current Cochrane methodological and reporting standards, but is correct at the date of publication. The review has been withdrawn from the Cochrane Library, but readers can still access previous versions in the 'Other versions' tab

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 3, 2007

Review first published: Issue 3, 2007

Date	Event	Description
17 September 2009	Amended	Minor edit.
9 March 2009	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	Change of review authors. 3 new included studies.
9 March 2009	New search has been performed	New searches: January 2009.
12 June 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- The University of Manchester, UK.

External sources

- National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), UK.

This project was supported by the NIHR, via Cochrane Infrastructure funding to Cochrane Oral Health. The views and opinions expressed herein are those of the review authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Systematic Reviews Programme, the NIHR, the NHS or the Department of Health.